

EVOLUTION.

A

RETROSPECT

€ 606

EVOLUTION

A Retrospect.

THE REVISED

A D D R E S S

DELIVERED BEFORE THE BRITISH ASSOCIATION
FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE,

OXFORD, 1894.

BY THE MOST HON.

THE MARQUIS OF SALISBURY.

K.G., D.C.L., F.R.S., *Chancellor of the University of Oxford*

P R E S I D E N T.

LONDON :

THE ROXBURGHE PRESS
3, Victoria Street, Westminster,

AND

32, Charing Cross, S.W.

1894

EVOLUTION.

MY functions are of a more complicated character than usually is assigned to the occupants of this Chair. As Chancellor of the University it is my duty to tender to the British Association a hearty welcome, which it is my duty as President of the Association to accept. As President of the Association I convey, most unworthily, the voice of English science, as many worthy and illustrious Presidents have done before me; but in representing the University I represent far more fittingly the learners who are longing to hear

the lessons which the first teachers of English science have come as visitors to teach. I am bound to express on behalf of the University our sense of the good feeling towards that body which is the motive of this unusual arrangement. But as far as I am personally concerned, it is attended with some embarrassing results. In presence of the high priests of science I am only a layman, and all the skill of all the chemists the Association contains, will not transmute a layman into any more precious kind of metal. Yet it is my hard destiny to have to address on scientific matters, probably the most competent scientific audience in the world. If a country gentleman, who was also a colonel of Volunteers, were by any mental aberration on the part of the Commander-in-Chief

to be appointed to review an army corps at Aldershot, all military men would doubtless feel a deep compassion for his inevitable fate. I bespeak some spark of that divine emotion when I am attempting to discharge under similar conditions a scarcely less hopeless duty. At least, however, I have the consolation of feeling that I am free from some of the anxieties which have fallen to those who have preceded me as Presidents in this city.

The relations of the Association and the University are those of entire sympathy and good will, as becomes common workers in the sacred cause of diffusing enlightenment and knowledge. But we must admit that it was not always so. A curious record of a very different state of feeling came to light last year in the in-

teresting biography of Dr. Pusey, which is the posthumous work of Canon Liddon. In it is related the first visit of the Association to Oxford in 1832. Mr. Keble, at that time a leader of University thought, writes indignantly to his friend to complain that the honorary degree of D.C.L. had been bestowed upon some of the most distinguished members of the Association: "The Oxford Doctors," he says, "have truckled sadly to the spirit of the times in receiving the hodge-podge of philosophers as they did." It is amusing, at this distance of time, to note the names of the hodge-podge of philosophers whose academical distinctions so sorely vexed Mr. Keble's gentle spirit. They were Brown, Brewster, Faraday, and Dalton. When we recollect the

lovable and serene character of Keble's nature, and that he was at that particular date probably the man in the University who had the greatest power over other men's minds, we can measure the distance we have traversed since that time ; and the rapidity with which the converging paths of these two intellectual luminaries, the University and the Association, have approximated to each other. This sally of Mr. Keble's was no passing or accidental caprice. It represented a deep-seated sentiment in this place of learning, which had its origin in historic causes, and which has only died out in our time. One potent cause of it was that both bodies were teachers of science, but did not then in any degree attach the same meaning to that word. Science with the University for many

generations bore a signification different from that which belongs to it in this assembly. It represented the knowledge which alone in the Middle Ages was thought worthy of the name of science. It was the knowledge gained, not by external observation, but by mere reflection. The student's microscope was turned inward upon the recesses of his own brain ; and when the supply of facts and realities failed, as it very speedily did, the scientific imagination was not wanting to furnish to successive generations an interminable series of conflicting speculations. *That* science—science in our academical sense—had its day of rapid growth, of boundless aspiration, of enthusiastic votaries. It fascinated the rising intellect of the time, and it is said—people were not particular

about figures in those days—that its attractions were at one time potent enough to gather round the University thirty thousand students, who for the sake of learning its teaching were willing to endure a life of the severest hardship. Such a state of feeling is now an archæological curiosity. The revolt against Aristotle is now some three centuries old. But the mental sciences which were supposed to rest upon his writings have retained some of their ascendancy even till this day, and have only slowly and jealously admitted the rivalry of the growing sciences of observation.

The subject is interesting to us, as this undecided state of feeling coloured the experiences of this Association at its last Oxford visit, nearly a generation later, in 1860. The warmth of the encounters which

then took place has left a vivid impression on the minds of those who are old enough to have witnessed them. That much energy was on that occasion converted into heat may, I think, be inferred from the mutual distance which the two bodies have since maintained. Whereas the visit of 1832 was succeeded by another visit in fifteen years, and the visit of 1847 was succeeded by another visit in thirteen years, the year 1860 was followed by a long and dreary interval of separation, which has only now, after four-and-thirty years, been terminated. It has required the lapse of a generation to draw the curtain of oblivion over those animated scenes. It was popularly supposed that deep divergences upon questions of religion were the motive-force of those high controversies. To some extent that

impression was correct. But men do not always discern the motives which are really urging them, and I suspect that in many cases religious apprehensions only masked the resentment of the older learning at the appearance and claims of its younger rival. In any case there is something worthy of note, and something that conveys encouragement, in the difference of the feeling which prevails now and the feeling that was indicated then. Few men are now influenced by the strange idea that questions of religious belief depend on the issues of physical research. Few men, whatever their creed, would now seek their geology in the books of their religion, or, on the other hand, would fancy that the laboratory or the microscope could help them to penetrate the mysteries which hang over

the nature and the destiny of the soul of man. And the old learning no longer contests the share in education which is claimed by the new, or is blind to the supreme influence which natural knowledge is exercising in moulding the human mind.

A study of the addresses of my learned predecessors in this office shows me that the main duty which it falls to a President to perform in his introductory address is to remind you of the salient points in the annals of science since last the Association visited the town in which he is speaking. Most of them have been able to lay before you in all its interesting detail the history of the particular science of which each one of them was the eminent representative. If I were to make any such attempt I should only be

telling you with very inadequate knowledge a story which is from time to time told you, as well as it can be told, by men who are competent to deal with it. It will be more suitable to my capacity if I devote the few observations I have to make to a survey, not of our science, but of our ignorance. We live in a small bright oasis of knowledge surrounded on all sides by a vast unexplored region of impenetrable mystery. From age to age the strenuous labour of successive generations wins a small strip from the desert and pushes forward the boundary of knowledge. Of such triumphs we are justly proud. It is a less attractive task—but yet it has its fascination as well as its uses—to turn our eyes to the undiscovered country which still remains

to be won, to some of the stupendous problems of natural study which still defy our investigation. Instead, therefore, of recounting to you what has been done, or trying to forecast the discoveries of the future, I would rather draw your attention to the condition in which we stand towards three or four of the most important physical questions which it has been the effort of the last century to solve.

Of the scientific enigmas which still, at the end of the nineteenth century, defy solution, the nature and origin of what are called the elements is the most notable. It is not, perhaps, easy to give a precise logical reason for the feeling that the existence of our sixty-five elements is a strange anomaly, and conceals some much simpler state of facts. But

the conviction is irresistible. We cannot conceive, on any possible doctrine of cosmogony, how these sixty-five elements came into existence. A third of them form the substance of this planet. Another third are useful, but somewhat rare. The remaining third are curiosities scattered haphazard, but very scantily, over the globe, with no other apparent function but to provide occupation for the collector and the chemist. Some of them are so like each other that only a chemist can tell them apart: others differ immeasurably from each other in every conceivable particular. In cohesion, in weight, in conductivity, in melting-point, in chemical proclivities, they vary in every degree. They seem to have as much relation to each other as the pebbles on a sea beach, or the con-

tents of an ancient lumber room. Whether you believe that creation was the work of design or of in-
scient law, it is equally difficult to imagine how this random collection of dissimilar materials came together. Many have been the attempts to solve this enigma; but up till now they have left it more impenetrable than before. A conviction that here was something to discover lay beneath the persistent belief in the possibility of the transmutation of other metals into gold, which brought the alchemy of the Middle Ages into being. When the immortal discovery of Dalton established that the atoms of each of these elements have a special weight of their own, and that consequently they combine in fixed ponderable proportions from which they never depart, it renewed the

hope that some common origin of the elements was in sight. The theory was advanced that all these weights were multiples of the weight of hydrogen—in other words, that each elementary atom was only a greater or a smaller number of hydrogen atoms compacted by some strange machinery into one. The most elaborate analyses, conducted by chemists of the highest eminence—conspicuously by the illustrious Stas—were directed to the question whether there was any trace in fact of the theoretic idea that the atoms of each element consist of so many atoms or even of so many half-atoms of hydrogen. But the reply of the laboratories has always been clear and certain—that there is not in the facts the faintest foundation for such a theory.

Then came the discovery of the spectral analysis, and men thought that with an instrument of such inconceivable delicacy we should at last find out something as to the nature of the atom. The result has been wholly disappointing. Spectral analysis in the hands of Dr. Huggins and Mr. Lockyer and others has taught us things of which the world little expected to be told. We have been enabled to measure the speed with which clouds of blazing hydrogen course across the surface of the sun ; we have learnt the pace—the fabulous pace—at which the most familiar stars have been for ages approaching to or receding from our planet, without apparently affecting the proportions of the patterns which as far as historical record goes back they have always delineated on

the evening sky. We have received some information about the elementary atoms themselves. We have learnt that each sort of atom when heated strikes upon the ether a vibration, or set of vibrations, whose rate is all its own ; and that no one atom or combination of atoms in producing its own spectrum encroaches even to the extent of a single line upon the spectrum that is peculiar to its neighbour. We have learnt that the elements which exist in the stars, and especially in the sun, are mainly those with which we are familiar upon earth. There are a few lines in excess to which we can give no terrestrial name ; and there are some still more puzzling gaps in our list. It is a great aggravation of the mystery which besets the question of the elements,

that among the lines which are absent from the spectrum of the sun, those of nitrogen and oxygen stand first. Oxygen constitutes the largest portion of the solid and liquid substance of our planet, so far as we know it ; and nitrogen is very far the predominant constituent of our atmosphere. If the earth is a detached bit whirled off the mass of the sun, as cosmogonists love to tell us, how comes it that in leaving the sun we cleaned him out so completely of his nitrogen and oxygen that not a trace of these gases remains behind to be discovered even by the sensitive vision of the spectroscope ?

All these things the discovery of spectrum analysis has added to our knowledge ; but it has left us as ignorant as ever as to the nature

of the capricious differences which separate the atoms from each other, or the cause to which those differences are due.

In the last few years the same enigma has been approached from another point of view by Mr. Newlands and Professor Mendeléeff. The periodic law which they have discovered reflects on them all the honour that can be earned by ingenious, laborious, and successful research. The Professor has shown that this perplexing list of elements can be divided into families of about seven, speaking very roughly: that those families all resemble each other in this, that as to weight, volume, heat, and laws of combination, the members of each family are ranked among themselves in obedience to the same rule. Each

family differs from the others ; but each internally is constructed upon the same plan. It was a strange discovery — strangest of all in its manifest defects. For in the plan of his families there were blanks left ; places not filled up because the properly constituted elements required according to his theory had not been found to fill them. For the moment their absence seemed a weakness in the Professor's idea, and gave an arbitrary aspect to his scheme. But the weakness was turned into strength when, to the astonishment of the scientific world, three of the elements which were missing made their appearance in answer to his call. He had described beforehand the qualities they ought to have ; and gallium, germanium, and scandium, when they were discovered

shortly after the publication of his theory, were found to be duly clothed with the qualities he required in each. This remarkable confirmation has left Mendeléeff's periodic law in an unassailable position. But it has rather thickened than dissipated the mystery which hangs over the elements. The discovery of these co-ordinate families dimly points to some identical origin, without suggesting the method of their genesis or the nature of their common parentage. If they were organic beings all our difficulties would be solved by muttering the comfortable word 'evolution'—one of those indefinite words from time to time vouchsafed to humanity, which have the gift of alleviating so many perplexities and masking so many gaps in our knowledge. But

the families of elementary atoms do not breed ; and we cannot therefore ascribe their ordered difference to accidental variations perpetuated by heredity under the influence of natural selection. The rarity of iodine, and the abundance of its sister chlorine, cannot be attributed to the survival of the fittest in the struggle for existence. We cannot account for the minute difference which persistently distinguishes nickel from cobalt, by ascribing it to the recent inheritance by one of them of an advantageous variation from the parent stock.

The upshot is that all these successive triumphs of research, Dalton's, Kirchhoff's, Mendeléeff's, greatly as they have added to our store of knowledge, have gone but little way to solve the problem which the

elementary atoms have for centuries presented to mankind. What the atom of each element is; whether it is a movement, or a thing, or a vortex, or a point having inertia; whether there is any limit to its divisibility, and, if so, how that limit is imposed; whether the long list of elements is final, or whether any of them have any common origin,—all these questions remain surrounded by a darkness as profound as ever. The dream which lured the alchemists to their tedious labours, and which may be said to have called chemistry into being, has assuredly not been realised, but it has not yet been refuted. The boundary of our knowledge in this direction remains where it was many centuries ago.

The next discussion to which I should look in order to find unsolved

riddles which have hitherto defied the scrutiny of science would be the question of what is called the ether. The ether occupies a highly anomalous position in the world of science. It may be described as a half-discovered entity. I dare not use any less pedantic word than entity to designate it, for it would be a great exaggeration of our knowledge if I were to speak of it as a body or even as a substance. When, nearly a century ago, Young and Fresnel discovered that the motions of an incandescent particle were conveyed to our eyes by undulation, it followed that between our eyes and the particle there must be something to undulate. In order to furnish that something the notion of the ether was conceived, and for more than two generations the main, if not the only,

function of the word ether has been to furnish a nominative case to the verb "to undulate." Lately, our conception of this entity has received a notable extension. One of the most brilliant of the services which Professor Maxwell has rendered to science has been the discovery that the figure which expressed the velocity of light also expressed the multiplier required to change the measure of static or passive electricity into that of dynamic or active electricity. The interpretation reasonably affixed to this discovery is that, as light and the electric impulse move approximately at the same rate through space, it is probable that the undulations which convey them are undulations of the same medium. And as induced electricity penetrates through everything, or

nearly everything, it follows that the ether through which its undulations are propagated must pervade all space, whether empty or full, whether occupied by opaque matter or transparent matter, or by no matter at all. The attractive experiments by which the late Professor Herz illustrated the electric vibrations of the ether will only be alluded to by me in order that I may express the regret deeply and generally felt that death should have terminated prematurely the scientific career which had begun with such brilliant promise and such fruitful achievements. But the mystery of the ether, though it has been made more fascinating by these discoveries, remains even more inscrutable than before. Of this all-pervading entity we know absolutely nothing except this one fact, that it

can be made to undulate. Whether, outside the influence of matter on the motion of its waves, ether has any effect on matter or matter upon it, is absolutely unknown. And even its solitary function of undulating ether performs in an abnormal fashion which has caused infinite perplexity. All fluids that we know transmit any blow they have received by waves which undulate backwards and forwards in the path of their own advance. The ether undulates athwart the path of the wave's advance. The genius of Lord Kelvin has recently discovered what he terms a labile state of equilibrium, in which a fluid that is infinite in its extent may exist, and may undulate in this eccentric fashion without outraging the laws of mathematics. I am no mathematician, and I cannot judge

whether this reconciliation of the action of the ether with mechanical law is to be looked upon as a permanent solution of the question, or is only what diplomatists call a *modus vivendi*. In any case it leaves our knowledge of the ether in a very rudimentary condition. It has no known qualities except one, and that quality is in the highest degree anomalous and inscrutable. The extended conception which enables us to recognise ethereal waves in the vibrations of electricity has added infinite attraction to the study of those waves, but it carries its own difficulties with it. It is not easy to fit in the theory of electrical ether waves with the phenomena of positive and negative electricity ; and as to the true significance and cause of those counteracting and comple-

mentary forces, to which we give the provisional names of negative and positive, we know about as much now as Franklin knew a century and a half ago.

I have selected the elementary atoms and the ether as two instances of the obscurity that still hangs over problems which the highest scientific intellects have been investigating for several generations. A more striking but more obvious instance still is life—animal and vegetable life—the action of an unknown force on ordinary matter. What is the mysterious impulse which is able to strike across the ordinary laws of matter, and twist them for a moment from their path? Some people demur to the use of the term “vital force” to designate this impulse. In their view the

existence of such a force is negatived by the fact that chemists have been able by cunning substitutions to produce artificially the peculiar compounds which in nature are only found in organisms that are or have been living. These compounds are produced by some living organism in the performance of the ordered series of functions proper to its brief career. To counterfeit them—as has been done in numerous cases—does not enable us to do what the vital force alone can effect—to bring the organism itself into existence, and to cause it to run its appointed course of change. This is the unknown force which continues to defy not only our imitation but our scrutiny. Biology has been exceptionally active and successful during the last half-century. Its triumphs

have been brilliant, and they have been rich enough not only in immediate result but in the promise of future advance. Yet they give at present no hope of penetrating the great central mystery. The progress which has been made in the study of microscopic life has been very striking, whether or not the results which are at present inferred from it can be taken as conclusive. Infinitesimal bodies found upon the roots of plants have the proud office of capturing and taming for us the free nitrogen of the air, which, if we are to live at all, we must consume and assimilate, and yet which, without the help of our microscopic ally, we could not draw for any useful purpose from the ocean of nitrogen in which we live. Microscopic bodies are convicted of causing many of the

worst diseases to which flesh is heir, and the guilt of many more will probably be brought home to them in due time; and they exercise a scarcely less sinister or less potent influence on our race by the plagues with which they destroy some of the most valuable fruits of husbandry, such as the potato, the mulberry, and the vine. Almost all their power resides in the capacity of propagating their kind with infinite rapidity, and up to this time science has been more skilful in describing their ravages than in devising means to hinder them. It would be ungrateful not to mention two brilliant exceptions to this criticism. The antiseptic surgery which we owe chiefly to Lister; and the inoculation against anthrax, hydrophobia, and perhaps some other diseases, which

we owe to Pasteur, must be recorded as splendid victories over the countless legions of our infinitesimal foes. Results like these are the great glory of the scientific workers of the past century. Men may, perhaps, have overrated the progress of nineteenth-century research in opening the secrets of nature, but it is difficult to overrate the brilliant service it has rendered in ministering to the comforts and diminishing the sufferings of mankind.

If we are not able to see far into the causes and origin of life in our own day, it is not probable that we shall deal more successfully with the problem as it arose many million years ago. Yet certainly the most conspicuous event in the scientific annals of the last half-century has been the publication of Mr. Darwin's

work on the "Origin of Species," which appeared in 1859. In some respects, in the depth of the impression which it made on scientific thought, and even on the general opinion of the world, its momentous effect can hardly be overstated. But at this distance of time it is possible to see that some of its success has been due to adventitious circumstances. It has had the chance of enlisting among its champions some of the most powerful intellects of our time, and perhaps the still happier fortune of appearing at a moment when it furnished an armoury of weapons to men who were not scientific, for use in the bitter but transitory polemics of the day. But far the largest part of its accidental advantages was to be found in the remarkable character

and qualifications of its author. The equity of judgment, the simple-minded love of truth, and the patient devotion to the pursuit of it through years of toil and of other conditions the most unpropitious—these things endeared to numbers of men everything that came from Charles Darwin apart from its scientific merit or literary charm. And whatever final value may be assigned to his doctrine, nothing can ever detract from the lustre shed upon it by the wealth of his knowledge and the infinite ingenuity of his resource. The intrinsic power of his theory is shown at least in this one respect, that in the department of knowledge with which it is concerned it has effected an entire revolution in the methods of research. Before his time the study of living nature had a tendency to be

merely statistical ; since his time it has become predominantly historical. The consideration how an organic body came to be what it is occupies a far larger area in any inquiry now than the mere description of its actual condition ; but this question was not predominant—it may almost be said to have been ignored—in the botanical and zoological study of sixty years ago.

Another lasting and unquestioned effect has resulted from Darwin's work. He has, as a matter of fact, disposed of the doctrine of the immutability of species. It has been mainly associated in recent days with the honoured name of Agassiz, but with him has disappeared the last defender of it who could claim the attention of the world. Few now are found to doubt that animals

separated by differences far exceeding those that distinguish what we know as species have yet descended from common ancestors. But there is much less agreement as to the extent to which this common descent can be assumed, or the process by which it has come about. Darwin himself believed that all animals were descended from "at most four or five progenitors"—adding that "there was grandeur in the view that life had been originally breathed by the Creator into a few forms or one." Some of his more devoted followers, like Professor Haeckel, were prepared to go a step farther and to contemplate primeval mud as the probable ancestor of the whole fauna and flora of this planet.

To this extent the Darwinian theory has not effected the conquest

of scientific opinion ; and still less is there any unanimity in the acceptance of natural selection as the sole or even the main agent of whatever modifications may have led up to the existing forms of life. The deepest obscurity still hangs over the origin of the infinite variety of life. Two of the strongest objections to the Darwinian explanation appear still to retain all their force.

I think Lord Kelvin was the first to point out that the amount of time required by the advocates of the theory for working out the process they had imagined could not be conceded without assuming the existence of a totally different set of natural laws from those with which we are acquainted. His view was not only based on profound mechanical reasoning, but it was so plain

that any layman could comprehend it. Setting aside arguments deduced from the resistance of the tides, which may be taken to transcend the lay understanding, his argument from the refrigeration of the earth requires little science to apprehend it.* Everybody knows that hot things cool, and that according to their substance they take more or less time in cooling. It is evident from the increase of heat as we descend into the earth, that the earth is cooling, and we know by experiment, within certain wide limits, the rate at which its substances, the matters of which it is constituted, are found to cool. It follows that we can approximately calculate how hot it was so many million years ago. But if at any time it was hotter at the surface by 50° F.

than it is now, life would then have been impossible upon the planet, and therefore we can without much difficulty fix a date before which organic life on earth cannot have existed. Basing himself on these considerations, Lord Kelvin limited the period of organic life upon the earth to a hundred million years, and Professor Tait in a still more penurious spirit cut that hundred down to ten. But on the other side of the account stand the claims of the geologists and biologists. They have revelled in the prodigality of the ciphers which they put at the end of the earth's hypothetical life. Long cribbed and cabined within the narrow bounds of the popular chronology, they have exulted wantonly in their new freedom. They have lavished their millions of years

with the open hand of a prodigal heir indemnifying himself by present extravagance for the enforced self-denial of his youth. But it cannot be gainsaid that their theories require at least all this elbowroom. If we think of that vast distance over which Darwin conducts us, from the jelly-fish lying on the primeval beach to man as we know him now ; if we reflect that the prodigious change requisite to transform one into the other is made up of a chain of generations, each advancing by a minute variation from the form of its predecessor, and if we further reflect that these successive changes are so minute that in the course of our historical period — say three thousand years — this progressive variation has not advanced by a single step perceptible to our eyes,

in respect to man or the animals and plants with which man is familiar, we shall admit that for a chain of change so vast, of which the smallest link is longer than our recorded history, the biologists are making no extravagant claim when they demand at least many hundred million years for the accomplishment of the stupendous process. Of course, if the mathematicians are right, the biologists cannot have what they demand. If, for the purposes of their theory, organic life must have existed on the globe more than a hundred million years ago, it must, under the temperature then prevailing, have existed in a state of vapour. The jelly-fish would have been dissipated in steam long before he had had a chance of displaying the advantageous varia-

tion which was to make him the ancestor of the human race. I see, in the eloquent discourse of one of my most recent and most distinguished predecessors in this chair, Sir Archibald Geikie, that the controversy is still alive. The mathematicians sturdily adhere to their figures, and the biologists are quite sure that the mathematicians must have made a mistake. I will not get myself into the line of fire by intervening in such a controversy. But until it is adjusted the laity may be excused for returning a verdict of "not proven" upon the wider issues the Darwinian school has raised.

The other objection is best stated in the words of an illustrious disciple of Darwin, who has recently honoured this city by his presence—I refer to Professor Weismann. But in refer-

ring to him, I cannot but give, in passing, a feeble expression to the universal sorrow with which in this place the news was received that Weismann's distinguished antagonist, Professor Romanes, had been taken from us in the outset and full promise of a splendid scientific career.

The gravest objection to the doctrine of natural selection was expressed by Weismann in a paper published a few months ago, not as agreeing to the objection, but as resisting it; and therefore his language may be taken as an impartial statement of the difficulty. "We accept natural selection," he says, "not because we are able to demonstrate the process in detail, not even because we can with more or less ease imagine it, but simply because we must—because it is the only

possible explanation we can conceive. We must assume natural selection to be the principle of the explanation of the metamorphoses, because all other apparent principles of explanation fail us, and it is inconceivable that there could yet be another capable of explaining the adaptation of organisms without assuming the help of a principle of design."

There is the difficulty. We cannot demonstrate the process of natural selection in detail ; we cannot even, with more or less ease, imagine it. It is purely hypothetical. No man, so far as we know, has ever seen it at work. An accidental variation may have been perpetuated by inheritance, and in the struggle for existence the bearer of it may have replaced, by virtue of the survival of the fittest, his less improved com-

petitors ; but as far as we know no man or succession of men have ever observed the whole process in any single case, and certainly no man has recorded the observation. Variation by *artificial* selection, of course, we know very well ; but the intervention of the cattle breeder and the pigeon fancier is the essence of artificial selection. It is effected by their action in crossing, by their skill in bringing the right mates together to produce the progeniture they want. But in natural selection who is to supply the breeder's place ? Unless the crossing is properly arranged, the new breed will never come into being. What is to secure that the two individuals of opposite sexes in the primeval forest, who have been both accidentally blessed with the same advantageous variation, shall meet,

and transmit by inheritance that variation to their successors? Unless this step is made good, the modification will never get a start; and yet there is nothing to insure that step, except pure chance. The law of chances takes the place of the cattle breeder and the pigeon fancier. The biologists do well to ask for an immeasurable expanse of time, if the occasional meetings of advantageously varied couples from age to age are to provide the pedigree of modifications which unite us to our ancestor the jelly-fish. Of course, the struggle for existence, and the survival of the fittest, would in the long run secure the predominance of the stronger breed over the weaker. But it would be of no use in setting the improved breed going. There would not be time. No possible variation which is

known to our experience, in the short time that elapses in a single life between the moment of maturity and the age of reproduction, could enable the varied individual to clear the field of all competitors, either by slaughtering or starving them out. But unless the struggle for existence took this summary and internecine character, there would be nothing but mere chance to secure that the advantageously varied bridegroom at one end of the wood should meet the bride, who by a happy contingency had been advantageously varied in the same direction at the same time at the other end of the wood. It would be a mere chance if they ever knew of each other's existence—a still more unlikely chance that they should resist on both sides all temptations to a less advantageous alliance. But un-

less they did so, the new breed would never even begin, let alone the question of its perpetuation after it had begun. I think Professor Weismann is justified in saying that we cannot, either with more or less ease, imagine the process of natural selection.

It seems strange that a philosopher of Professor Weismann's penetration should accept as established a hypothetical process the truth of which he admits that he cannot demonstrate in detail, and the operation of which he cannot even imagine. The reason that he gives seems to me instructive of the great danger scientific research is running at the present time—the acceptance of mere conjecture in the name and place of knowledge, in preference to making frankly the admission that no certain knowledge can be attained. "We accept

natural selection," he says, "because we must—because it is the only possible explanation that we can conceive." As a politician, I know that argument very well. In political controversy it is sometimes said of a disputed proposal that it "holds the field," that it must be accepted because no possible alternative has been suggested. In politics there is occasionally a certain validity in the argument, for it sometimes happens that some definite course must be taken, even though no course is free from objection. But such a line of reasoning is utterly out of place in science. We are under no obligation to find a theory, if the facts will not provide a sound one. To the riddles which nature propounds to us the profession of ignorance must constantly be our only reasonable answer. The

cloud of impenetrable mystery hangs over the development and still more over the origin of life. If we strain our eyes to pierce it, with the foregone conclusion that some solution is and must be attainable, we shall only mistake for discoveries the figments of "our own imagination. Professor Weismann adds another reason for his belief in natural selection, which is certainly characteristic of the time in which we live. "It is inconceivable," he says, "that there should be another principle capable of explaining the adaptation of organisms without assuming the help of a principle of design." The whirligig of time assuredly brings its revenges. Time was, not very long ago, when the belief in creative design was supreme. Even those who were sapping its authority were wont to

pay it a formal homage, fearing to shock the public conscience by denying it. Now the revolution is so complete that a great philosopher uses it as a *reductio ad absurdum*, and prefers to believe that which can neither be demonstrated in detail nor imagined, rather than run the slightest risk of such a heresy.

I quite accept the Professor's dictum that if natural selection is rejected we have no resource but to fall back on the mediate or immediate agency of a principle of design. In Oxford, at least, he will not find that argument is conclusive, nor, I believe, among scientific men in this country generally, however imposing the names of some whom he may claim for that belief. I would rather lean to the conviction that the multiplying difficulties of the mechanical

theory are weakening the influence it once had acquired. I prefer to shelter myself in this matter behind the judgment of the greatest living master of natural science among us, Lord Kelvin, and to quote as my own concluding words the striking language with which he closed his address from this chair more than twenty years ago : " I have always felt," he said, " that the hypothesis of natural selection does not contain the true theory of evolution, if evolution there has been in biology. . . . I feel profoundly convinced that the argument of design has been greatly too much lost sight of in recent zoological speculations. Overpoweringly strong proofs of intelligent and benevolent design lie around us ; and if ever perplexities, whether metaphysical or scientific, turn us

away from them for a time, they come back upon us with irresistible force, showing to us through nature the influence of a free will, and teaching us that all living things depend on one everlasting Creator and Ruler."

Telegraphic Address: **Cablegrams:**
"QUILLDRIVER, LONDON." "A.B.C." CABLE CODE.
Cheques to be crossed
The London Joint Stock Bank, Limited.

A SELECTION FROM THE
List of Publications

ISSUED BY
THE ROXBURGHE PRESS,

CONDUCTED BY
MR. CHARLES F. RIDEAL,
Fellow of the Royal Society of Literature.

LONDON:
3, VICTORIA STREET, WESTMINSTER,
AND
32, CHARING CROSS, S.W.

The Roxburghe Press

Undertake the printing and publishing of approved MSS. Estimates supplied Free. The Publishing Department is specially arranged for the purpose of advantageously bringing Books before the Trade, the Libraries, and the Public. Accounts are settled upon the certificate of a Chartered Accountant, and everything is done to promote the interests of Authors and their works.

ACROSS THE ATLANTIC. By R. ANDERTON·
NAYLOR, F.R.S.Lit., Author of "Nugæ Canoræ,"
etc. Presentation Edition.

THE PALMIST AND CHIROLOGICAL REVIEW, the Journal of the Chirological Society. Edited by MRS. KATHARINE ST. HILL and Mr. CHARLES F. RIDEAL. It has been the primary object of the journal so to promote the study of palmistry from a strictly scientific and reasonable point of view; to treat it as a study allied to phrenology and physiognomy rather than as associated with astrology and other so-called occult sciences. Monthly, Sixpence; post free, 6s. 6d. per annum.

"WELLERISMS," from "Pickwick" and "Master Humphrey's Clock." Selected by CHARLES F. RIDEAL, and Edited, with an Introduction, by CHARLES KENT. *Contents* :—Sam Weller's Introduction; Old Weller at Doctor's Commons; Sam on a Legal Case; Self-acting Ink; Out with it; Sam's Old White Hat; Independent Voters; Proud o' the Title; The Weller Philosophy; The Two-penny Rope; Job Trotter's Tears; Sam's Misgivings as to Mr. Pickwick; Clear the Way for the Wheelbarrow; Unpacking the Lunch Hamper; Battledore and Shuttlecock; A True Londoner; Spoiling the Beadle; Old Weller's Remedy for the Gout; Sam on Cabs; Poverty and Oysters; Old Weller on "Pikes;" Sam's Power of Suction; Weller and Gammon; Sam as Master of the Ceremonies; Sam before Mr. Nupkins; Sam's Introduction to Mary and the Cook; Something behind the Door; Sam and Master Bardell; Good Wishes to Messrs. Dodson and Fogg; Sam and his Mother-in-Law; The Shepherd's Water Rates; Stiggins as an Arithmetician; Sam and the Fat Boy; Compact and Comfortable; Apologue of the Fat Man's Watch; Medical Students; Sam Subpœnaed; Disappearance of the "Sausage" Maker; Sam Weller's Valentine; Old Weller's Plot; Tea Drinking at Brick Lane; The Soldier's Evidence Inadmissible; Sam's "Wision" Limited; A Friendly "Swarry;" The Killebeate; Sam and the Surly Groom; Mr. Pickwick's Dark Lantern; The Little Dirty-faced Man; Old Weller Inexorable; Away with Melancholy; Post Boys and Donkeys; A Vessel; Old Weller's Threat; Sam's Dismissal of the Fat Boy; Is she a Widder? Bill Blinder's Request; The Watch-box Boy. Third Edition. With a new and original drawing by GEORGE CRUIKSHANK, JUNR., of Mr. Samuel Weller. Manilla, One Shilling, Cloth Eighteenpence net; Post Free, 3d. extra.

THE PRACTICAL NURSING SERIES. By MARY TRUMAN, M.R.B.N.A., and EDITH SYKES, A.S.I.—No. 1. "Food for the Sick" No. 2. "Disinfection." No. 3. "Young Babies." Threepence each; Post Free, 4d. No. 4 "Accidents," by Dr. Lowe Sixpence, Post Free, Sevenpence.

A BIBLIOGRAPHY OF GUNS AND SHOOTING. By WIRT GERRARE 10s. 6d. net; Post Free. Buckram. A Limited Edition.

THE LAW AND LAWYERS OF PICKWICK (With an Original Sketch of "Mr. Serjeant Buzfuz"). By FRANK LOCKWOOD, Q.C., M.P. Second Edition, slightly revised. Manilla, One Shilling. Cloth bevelled, a veritable Edition de Luxe, Eighteenpence net, Post Free 3d. extra.

INDIA IN NINE CHAPTERS. By A. M. O. RICHARDS. One Shilling, Post Free 3d. extra.

THE MANCHESTER MONTHLY. A Journal of Literature and Criticism, of Local History, Biography, etc. Price Threepence. Subscription 4s. per annum, Post Free.

THE POPULAR MEDICAL MONTHLY, with which is incorporated **THE NURSE: THE BABY'S WORLD.** A Journal for every Household Price One Penny. Subscription 2s. per annum, Post Free.

NURSING OLD AGE. By MARY TRUMAN, M.R.B.N.A., and EDITH SYKES, A.S.I. Paper, One Shilling; cloth, Half-a-crown. Post free, 3d. extra.

PHANTASMS: original stories illustrating on Posthumous Character and Personality. By WIRT GERRARE Half-a-crown net; post free, 3d. extra. A Limited Edition.

THE MOUNTAIN LAKE AND OTHER POEMS. From the works of FRIEDRICH VON BOHNFSTEDT. Translated by JULIA PRESTON. Antique paper, daintily bound, Six Shillings net; Post Free, 3d. extra. A Limited Edition.

"TOLD AT THE CLUB" Some Short Stories Being No. 1 of the "Potboiler" Series By CHARLES F. RIDFAL. Manilla, One Shilling, Cloth Eighteenpence net; Post Free 3d extra *[In preparation.]*

WOMAN REGAINED. A Novel. By GEORGE BARLOW. Six Shillings. 100 (only) copies, large paper, specially bound, numbered, and signed by the Author. One Guinea. *[In Preparation.]*

THE REMINISCENCES OF A SEPTUAGENARIAN CITIZEN. By EDWARD CALLOW. Large paper edition, limited to 250 copies, specially bound, numbered, and signed by Author. Illustrated, 21s. *[In Preparation.]*

EVOLUTION, A RETROSPECT. The revised Address delivered before the Royal British Association at Oxford 1894. By the MARQUIS OF SALISBURY, K.G., etc. Buckram, Gilt Top, Eighteenpence net; Post Free 3d. extra.

THE RE-UNION OF CHRISTENDOM. The revised Address delivered before the Conference under the auspices of the Catholic Truth Society at Preston 1894, by His Eminence CARDINAL VAUGHAN, Archbishop of Westminster. Buckram, Gilt Top, Eighteenpence net; Post Free 3d. extra.

THE SENATE, *The Organ of Aristocracy.*

Published on the First of each Month, Sixpence.
Subscription 7s. 6d. per annum, post free.

St. James's Budget says:—“This clever and audacious little monthly styles itself ‘The Organ of the Aristocracy,’ and its smart gibes and jeers will no doubt be appreciated by that august body. . . . Truly the thanks of all men are due to such reformers as the conductors of this frank and fearless organ.”

The Sun says:—“The editorial programme is distinctly precious.”

Liverpool Daily Post says:—“Smart writing, strongly and professedly Tory, and . . . verse . . . of good quality.”

Manchester Guardian says:—“We like the *Senate*.”

Nottingham Daily Express says:—“Decidedly enter-taining.”

Glasgow Herald says:—“It is smart.”

PUBLISHED BY

THE ROXBURGHE PRESS,
3, Victoria Street, Westminster,

AND

82, Charing Cross, S.W.



SOME WORKS

BY

MR. CHARLES F. RIDEAL.



"WELLERISMS," from "Pickwick" and "Master Humphrey's Clock." Selected by CHARLES F. RIDEAL, and Edited with an Introduction by CHARLES KENT, Author of "The Humour and Pathos of Charles Dickens." Third Edition. With a new and original drawing by GEORGE CRUIKSHANK, JUNR., of Mr. Samuel Weller Manilla, One Shilling; Cloth, Eighteenpence net; Post Free, 3d. extra

The Roxburghe Press, 3, Victoria Street, Westminster.

CHARLES DICKENS' HEROINES AND WOMEN FOLK: Some thoughts concerning them. By CHARLES F. RIDEAL. Dainty edition, Manilla, One Shilling; Cloth, Eighteenpence net; Post Free 3d. extra.

The Roxburghe Press, 3, Victoria Street, Westminster.

STORIES FROM SCOTLAND YARD (Sixth Thousand). By CHARLES F. RIDEAL. Two Shillings.

George Routledge & Sons, Ltd., Ludgate Hill, E.C.

TRUE DETECTIVE STORIES (29th Thousand), By CHARLES F. RIDEAL. Manilla, One Shilling net; Post Free, 3d. extra

The Roxburghe Press, 3, Victoria Street, Westminster.

"TOLD AT THE CLUB." Some short stories. Being No. 1 of the "Potboiler" Series. By CHARLES F. RIDEAL. Manilla, One Shilling, Cloth Eighteenpence net; Post Free 3d. extra.

The Roxburghe Press, 3, Victoria Street, Westminster. In preparation.

PEOPLE WE MEET. By CHARLES F. RIDEAL. Illustrated by HARRY PARKES. One Shilling. A limited edition of signed and numbered copies at Five Shillings.

The Leadenhall Press, Ltd., E.C.

MORE PEOPLE WE MEET. By CHARLES F. RIDFAL. Illustrated by L. RAVENHILL, MARK ZANGWILL, etc. One Shilling. A limited edition of signed and numbered copies at Five Shillings.

Ward & Downey, Ltd., York Buildings, Adelphi, W.C.

YOUNG LADIES OF TO-DAY. By CHARLES F. RIDEAL, with Illustrations by "CROW." One Shilling. A limited edition of signed and numbered copies at Five Shillings.

Dean & Son, Ltd., 160A, Fleet Street, F.C.

•**YOUNG GENTLEMEN OF TO-DAY.** By CHARLES F. RIDFAL. Illustrated by "CROW." One Shilling net; Post Free, 3d. extra.
A limited edition of signed and numbered copies at Five Shillings net; Post Free.
The Roxburghe Press, 3, Victoria Street, Westminster.
In preparation.

THE PALMIST AND CHIROLOGICAL REVIEW, the Journal of the Chirological Society. Edited by KATHARINE ST HILL and CHARLES F. RIDFAL. Sixpence, monthly.
The Roxburghe Press, 3, Victoria Street, Westminster.

THE NURSING RECORD & THE HOSPITAL WORLD. The Representative Journal of the Nursing Profession. Established and formerly Edited by CHARLES F. RIDEAL. One Penny, Weekly.

• The Record Press, Ltd., 376, Strand, W.C.

THE NURSING RECORD SERIES OF MANUALS AND TEXT BOOKS. Edited by CHARLES F. RIDEAL.

No. 1. "Lectures to Nurses on Antiseptics in Surgery." (Containing seven coloured plates.) By E STANMORE BISHOP, F R C S Eng ; Hon Surgeon, Ancoats Hospital, Manchester. Two Shillings.

No. 2 "Norris's Nursing Notes:"—A Manual of Medical and Surgical Information for the use of Hospital Nurses and others. With illustrations, and a glossary of medical and surgical terms. By RACHAEL NORRIS (nee Williams), late Acting Superintendent of Royal Victoria Military Hospital at Suez, and formerly matron to St Mary's Hospital, W. Two Shillings.

No. 3. "A Manual of Practical Electro-Therapeutics" By ARTHUR HARRIES, M.D., A.I.E.E., and H. NEWMAN LAWRENCE, M.I.E.E., with photographs and diagrams Eighteenpence.

No. 4. "Massage for Beginners." By LUCY FITCH.
One Shilling.
The Record Press, Ltd., 376, Strand, W.C.

THE RECORD "BOOKLET" SERIES. Edited by CHARLES F. RIDEAL.

No. 1. "Points for Probationers" By Miss E. J. R. LANDALE, Member of the Royal British Nurses' Association. One Shilling.

